IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST FEBRUARY 2007 / 2ND PHALGUNA 1928

RP.No. 186 of 2007(R)

AGAINST THE ORDER IN IA.NO.1320/07 IN WPC.28205/2006 Dated 24/01/2007

.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS:

----

1. T.V. MEERANKHAN, SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT
DISTRICT COURT, THODUPUZHA.

2. K.M. ABDUL KAREEM, JUNIOR SUPERINTENDENT
MUNSIFF COURT, PEERUMEDU.

BY ADV. SRI.K.JAJU BABU
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI
SRI.BRIJESH MOHAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS:-

----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HOME DEPARTMENT,
GOVT.SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

2. HIGH COURT OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
ITS REGISTRAR (SUBORDINATE JUDICIARY),
ERNAKULAM.

3. SHRI.A.A. SADANANDAN,
SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT, DISTRICT COURT,
PALAKKAD.

R1 BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN
R2 BY ADV. SRI.O.V.RADHAKRISHNAN (SR.)

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

==========

RP.No.186 of 2007
in
I.A.No.1320 of 2007
in
WP(C).No.28205 of 2006

==========

Dated this the 21st day of February, 2007.

O R D E R

Heard counsel for the petitioners, Government Pleader for respondent No.1 and Senior Counsel for respondent No.2. None appears for the additional respondent shown in the above IA.

2. Having regard to the fact that another interim order has been passed in a connected case wherein the very same order is under challenge, I think it will be appropriate that the learned Judge before whom the matter is likely to come up for consideration will be free to pass further orders, if necessary. Hence the interim order passed on 24-1-2007 is vacated. I refrain from saying anything more, as I have already taken a decision not to hear the writ petition.

The review petition is allowed as above.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE.

kvs/-